# Correction of a Posttraumatic Orbital Deformity Using Three-Dimensional Modeling, Virtual Surgical Planning with Computer-Assisted Design, and Three-Dimensional Printing of Custom Implants

Kristopher M. Day, MD<sup>1</sup> Paul M. Phillips, MD<sup>1</sup> Larry A. Sargent, MD, FACS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plastic Surgery, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Chattanooga, Tennessee

Craniomaxillofac Trauma Reconstruction

Address for correspondence Kristopher M. Day, MD, Department of Plastic Surgery, University of Tennessee Chattanooga, 979 East Third Street, Suite C-920, Chattanooga, TN 47403 (e-mail: KristopherMDay@Gmail.com).

# Abstract

We describe a case of complex, posttraumatic skull and orbital deformities that were evaluated and treated with advanced computer technology, including virtual surgical planning, three-dimensional (3D) modeling, and printed patient custom implants (PCI) fabricated by 3D printing. A 50-year-old man presented to our craniofacial referral center 1 year after failed reduction of complex left orbital, zygomatic, and frontal bone fractures due to a motorcycle collision. The patient's chief complaint was debilitating diplopia in all fields of gaze. On examination, he had left enophthalmos, left canthal displacement, lower eyelid ectropion, vertical orbital dystopia, and a laterally and inferiorly displaced, comminuted zygoma with orbital rim and frontal bone defects. The normal orbit was mirrored to precisely guide repositioning of the globe, orbital reconstruction, and cranioplasty. Preinjury appearance with normal globe position was restored with complete resolution of diplopia. Modern 3D technology allows the surgeon to better analyze complex orbital deformities and precisely plan surgical correction with the option of printing a PCI. These techniques were successfully applied to resolve a case of debilitating diplopia and aesthetic deficits after facial trauma. Further application of advanced 3D computer technology can potentially improve the results of severe orbital and craniofacial trauma reconstruction.

#### **Keywords**

- maxillofacial
- ► trauma
- ► orbit
- ► reconstruction
- ► 3D printing

Since its advent in the 1980s,<sup>1</sup> advanced three-dimensional (3D) computer technologies have transformed plastic surgery and other healthcare fields by advancing surgical technique.<sup>2–4</sup> With significant progress in computed tomographic (CT) imaging<sup>5</sup> and the development of rigid biomaterials,<sup>6,7</sup> specific advances have been seen in craniofacial reconstruction.<sup>8–10</sup> Modern computer-aided virtual surgical planning, 3D modeling, and printed patient custom implants (PCIs) are now employed with some frequency to enhance the diagnostic

received October 16, 2016 accepted after revision November 27, 2016 analysis, anatomic orientation, patient consent, individualized planning, virtual and physical simulation, augmentation of tissues, and educational yield in craniofacial surgery.<sup>2–4,8–10</sup>

There are multiple reports in the literature of the use of advanced 3D computer technology using PCIs for the reconstruction of orbital defects after trauma,<sup>11-13</sup> though few report cases of reoperative trauma,<sup>11,13</sup> and none describe the simultaneous single-stage reconstruction of multiple associated periorbital defects. We present a reoperative

Copyright © by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10001, USA Tel: +1(212) 584-4662. DOI http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0037-1601432. ISSN 1943-3875. case of complex posttraumatic orbital, zygomaticomaxillary complex, and frontal bone deformities, which was analyzed and repaired using 3D technology.

# **Case Study**

This case was reviewed in accordance with ethical standards of clinical research as outlined by the University of Tennessee Institutional Review Board. A 50-year-old man presented to our craniofacial referral center 3 months after two failed attempts at open reduction and internal fixation of complex left orbital, zygomatic, and frontal bone fractures sustained in a motorcycle accident. The patient reported debilitating diplopia, recurrent nausea when using both eyes, and was dependent on eye patch to perform his job as a welder. Preoperative evaluation demonstrated left enophthalmos, left lateral canthal displacement, lower eyelid ectropion, vertical orbital dystopia, and a laterally and inferiorly displaced, comminuted zygoma with multiple bone defects (Figs. 1 and 2). He displayed a drooping appearance in his left upper and midface combined with periorbital scarring. The patient's primary therapeutic goal was correction of diplopia, but he also desired improvement in facial appearance.

Advanced 3D computerized technology was employed for diagnostic assessment, preoperative planning, virtual surgical planning with computer-aided simulation of results, and fabrication of a PCI (KLS Martin, Inc.;, Jacksonville, FL; **- Fig. 1**).<sup>14</sup> Our craniofacial protocol of CT images (1.5-mm-thick cuts) was transferred via secure cloud computing using a Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine Image File (.dcm. "DICOM"). Virtual manipulation of these images during a computer-aided planning session was then conducted online with a biomedical computer engineering specialist. The PCI was designed by segmental mirroring<sup>11–13</sup> of the contralateral periorbital anatomy to approximate the premorbid left orbit, zygoma, and frontal bone.

A two-part PCI with interlocking frontal and zygomatic segments was fabricated based on this mirrored image from polyether ether ketone (PEEK; ISO 10993 specifications) using an additive manufacturing method.<sup>14</sup> Contoured radial titanium mesh designed by the senior author (L.S.)<sup>15,16</sup> was then rigidly secured to the implant with micro-screw fixation to the infraorbital rim to establish exact internal orbital symmetry compared with the opposite orbit. Prior to intraoperative placement, the radial mesh is removed with screw holes serving as an exact guide for orbital floor



Fig. 1 Virtual surgical planning process.



Fig. 2 (a-f) Before and after imaging, model, and custom implant.

position. Physical simulation of the operation was conducted on a 3D-printed skull model fashioned from the preoperative craniofacial CT scan. The left periorbital bony defect was corrected by placement of the PCI preoperatively. The 3D model and PCI were then sterilized and used as guides intraoperatively to aid in the reconstruction.

The patient underwent reopening of the previous bicoronal and lower eyelid incisions to provide sufficient exposure, which included complete takedown of the temporalis muscle, removal of multiple previous reduction plates, microscrews, and comminuted bone fragments. Owing to severe comminution with insufficient bone stock, portions of the left supraorbital frontal bone and body of the left zygoma were removed via debridement and osteotomy. The twopiece PCI was inserted by positioning and rigidly fixing the supraorbital and frontal bone segment first. The zygomatic portion of the PCI was then locked in place to the frontal bone segment and rigidly secured to the patient's native bone using lag screw and miniplate fixation. A contoured titanium mesh (formed and positioned preoperatively) was inserted to reconstruct the orbital floor and secured to the PCI's infraorbital rim with micro-screws using predrilled holes as a guide. The cheek and eyelid soft tissue was then resuspended with multiple sutures to bone, and a lateral canthopexy was performed.

The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged the following day. He subsequently underwent correction of traumatic left upper eyelid ptosis with plication of the levator tendon. Following the aforementioned procedures, the patient experienced complete resolution of his diplopia with correction of posttraumatic facial deformities (**> Fig. 3**). He was able to discontinue use of the eye patch and resume normal work responsibilities without restrictions.

## Discussion

We present a clinical case treated at a tertiary craniofacial referral center using advanced 3D computerized technology for the diagnostic assessment, preoperative planning, virtual surgical planning with computer-aided simulation of results,



Fig. 3 Before and after photographs.

and fabrication of a PCI (KLS Martin, Inc.;; **Fig. 1**).<sup>14</sup> This case illustrates the use of advanced 3D computer technology in the reconstruction of a complex orbital deformity after previous attempts at posttraumatic reduction. The employment of state-of-the-art computer planning and 3D modeling enhanced this patient's preoperative planning by enabling the analysis and simulation of the operation in both the virtual and physical environment.

Owing to the reoperative nature of this case in the setting of deficient, comminuted native bone stock, we elected to use a PCI to reconstruct the left periorbital region, zygoma, and affected frontal cranium. The native bone was severely comminuted and displaced, including bone defects and multiple small deformed bone fragments. Multiple osteotomies, repositioning, and splint calvarial bone grafting were considered as an option. We chose the custom implant due to the irregular and deformed nature of the existing bone. The PCI avoided the time required to harvest and contour bone grafts with no chance of the resorption or remodeling of these grafts that can occur in the long term. Posttraumatic orbital reconstruction with 3D technology has been reported previously,<sup>11–13</sup> though not with simultaneous reconstruction of this degree of periorbital trauma with a PEEK PCI.

Previous authors have noted the utility of PEEK in craniofacial reconstruction, given its close approximation to the physical properties of human cortical bone.<sup>6,7</sup> While there is a risk of infection or extrusion of any alloplastic implant, we feel there are certain keys to its successful use. These implants should not be used if there is exposure to any sinus; autogenous bone grafts would then be our choice. Rigid fixation of the implant to existing stable bone is crucial, with normal or undamaged soft-tissue coverage key to long-term success. While long-term follow-up (10–20 years) is needed, the senior author has had excellent results following the aforementioned principles in short-term follow-up (4–5 years).

Blumer et al,<sup>11</sup> Park et al,<sup>12</sup> and Zhang et al,<sup>13</sup> have also reported the use of segmental mirroring to reapproximate the orbital anatomy based on the unaffected contralateral side. There are inherent drawbacks to the use of advanced 3D computer technology, including increased cost, risks associated with the use of alloplastic biomaterials, and unexpected discrepancies between simulated and actual operative results.<sup>2-4,8-10</sup> This is a pitfall that may be encountered, as these highly precise PCIs are fitted among inherent technical challenges for accurate surgical placement. Custom osteotomy guides may be utilized, as needed, to optimize placement accuracy. This case required meticulous attention to detail to establish the appropriate fit between the implant and native bone with the use of a custom 3D skull model intraoperatively. The removal of bone fragments and PCI placement was tested on the model and did not require any recontouring intraoperatively. Both implants were rigidly fixed in place with screws and plates. There was no exposure to sinuses, and meticulous attention to soft-tissue redraping and suspension was performed. It is also important to note that the employment of 3D technology does not absolve the surgeon from the use of sound clinical principles in operative planning and execution. We have demonstrated that computerized virtual planning, 3D models, and PCI may safely enhance the planning, simulation, and execution of reoperative, posttraumatic periorbital reconstruction with excellent functional and aesthetic results.

Computer-aided 3D reconstruction is a burgeoning field in craniofacial surgery characterized by advanced technology for the optimal correction of a wide array of deformities.<sup>8–10</sup> The current challenges faced by practitioners include the production of high-quality evidence to define criteria warranting the use of 3D technologies and standards of production of PCIs. Other issues to consider are the value of 3D reconstructive technologies in medical education, the optimal biomaterials for use in PCIs, and long-term outcomes of this approach.<sup>2–4,8–10,17</sup>

## Conclusion

Modern 3D technology allows the surgeon to better analyze complex periorbital deformities and precisely plan surgical correction with the option of printing custom bone implants. These techniques were successfully applied in a reoperative case of debilitating diplopia after traumatic injury with excellent aesthetic and functional results. Further application of advanced 3D computer technology can potentially improve the results of severe periorbital traumatic reconstruction and warrants further study.

### Note

This article was orally presented at the Southeastern Society of Plastic and Reconstructive *Surgeons* 59th Annual Scientific Meeting, Lake Buena Vista, Florida, June 12, 2016.

Disclosures None.

## References

- 1 Hull CW, inventor. Apparatus for production of three-dimensional objects by stereolithography. US Patent 4,575,330. March 11, 1986
- 2 Gerstle TL, Ibrahim AM, Kim PS, Lee BT, Lin SJ. A plastic surgery application in evolution: three-dimensional printing. Plast Reconstr Surg 2014;133(2):446–451
- <sup>3</sup> Gross BC, Erkal JL, Lockwood SY, Chen C, Spence DM. Evaluation of 3D printing and its potential impact on biotechnology and the chemical sciences. Anal Chem 2014;86(7):3240–3253
- 4 Kamali P, Dean D, Skoracki R, et al. The current role of threedimensional printing in plastic surgery. Plast Reconstr Surg 2016; 137(3):1045–1055
- 5 Doi K. Diagnostic imaging over the last 50 years: research and development in medical imaging science and technology. Phys Med Biol 2006;51(13):R5–R27
- 6 Alonso-Rodriguez E, Cebrián JL, Nieto MJ, Del Castillo JL, Hernández-Godoy J, Burgueño M. Polyetheretherketone custom-made implants for craniofacial defects: Report of 14 cases and review of the literature. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015;43(7):1232–1238
- 7 Gerbino G, Zavattero E, Zenga F, Bianchi FA, Garzino-Demo P, Berrone S. Primary and secondary reconstruction of complex craniofacial defects using polyetheretherketone custom-made implants. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015;43(8):1356–1363
- 8 Levine JP, Patel A, Saadeh PB, Hirsch DL. Computer-aided design and manufacturing in craniomaxillofacial surgery: the new state of the art. J Craniofac Surg 2012;23(1):288–293
- 9 Parthasarathy J. 3D modeling, custom implants and its future perspectives in craniofacial surgery. Ann Maxillofac Surg 2014; 4(1):9–18

- 10 Tepper OM, Sorice S, Hershman GN, Saadeh P, Levine JP, Hirsch D. Use of virtual 3-dimensional surgery in post-traumatic craniomaxillofacial reconstruction. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2011;69(3): 733–741
- 11 Blumer M, Gander T, Kruse Gujer A, Seifert B, Rücker M, Lübbers HT. Influence of mirrored computed tomograms on decisionmaking for revising surgically treated orbital floor fractures. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015;73(10):1982.e1–1982.e9
- 12 Park SW, Choi JW, Koh KS, Oh TS. Mirror-imaged rapid prototype skull model and pre-molded synthetic scaffold to achieve optimal orbital cavity reconstruction. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015;73(8): 1540–1553
- 13 Zhang Y, He Y, Zhang ZY, An JG. Evaluation of the application of computer-aided shape-adapted fabricated titanium mesh for mirroring-reconstructing orbital walls in cases of late posttraumatic enophthalmos. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2010;68(9): 2070–2075
- 14 Martin KLS"Individual Patient Solutions." Available at: http:// www.klsmartinnorthamerica.com/?id=123. Accessed June 20, 2016
- 15 Sargent LA. Reconstruction of internal orbit fractures with internal mesh. Plast Reconstr Surg 1992;89:1177
- 16 Sargent LA. Nasoethmoid orbital fractures: diagnosis and treatment. Plast Reconstr Surg 2007;120(7, Suppl 2):16S–31S
- 17 United States Food and Drug Administration. Public workshop: additive manufacturing of medical devices: an interactive discussion on the technical considerations of 3-D printing. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/NewsEvents/Workshops-Conferences/ucm397324.htm. Accessed June 5, 2016